UK voters support leftist goals, but economic left-right is not the main dimension of competition

Vincenzo Emanuele June 3, 2017

Beyond the study of the issues that are considered as a priority by British voters (see Emanuele in this volume), another interesting aspect of the survey conducted by the CISE (Italian Centre for Electoral Studies) in view of the next UK general election, refers to the support accorded by voters to 18 positional issues, selected in cooperation with a team of British researchers. Specifically, each respondent was asked to position himself on a 6-point scale where the points 1 and 6 represent the two rival goals to be pursued on a given issue¹. Looking at the configuration of voters' support for the different issues will allow us to reach a clear understanding about what voters want and, consequently, about the structure of opportunity available for parties in this electoral campaign. Moreover, this analysis will also pursue another aim: investigating whether the support for the different goals can be aggregated to form one (or more) consistent dimension(s) of competition or, conversely, whether such support has an idiosyncratic shape. In other words, is the mind of voters ideologically consistent or not? Do voters still rely on the traditional left-right dimension of competition or do they simply support different positions on different goals without any reference to the 20th-century-style alignments?

Table 1 presents the 36 rival goals (each of the 18 positional issues has two alternative sides) ranked by their level of support. While in France there was a specific right-wing Zeitgeist, with four goals (negatively) related to immigrants supported by more than 70% of the electorate, in the United Kingdom an op-

¹ Additionally, the questionnaire also included ten valence issues (<u>Stokes 1963</u>), namely issues that refer to one shared goal, over which a general agreement is assumed (e.g., protection from terrorism). These issues have been excluded from this analysis, since a support of 100% was set by design.



Vincenzo Emanuele

posite left-wing orientation can be detected. With the only relevant exception of a largely supported welfare chauvinist goal ('Restrict access to welfare benefits for immigrants', supported by 76% of the respondents)², the other 7 out of the 8 most supported goals can be considered as belonging to a 'leftist agenda'. Specifically, traditional economic leftist goals dominate the top positions of Table 1. Indeed, the 80% of British voters would like to increase the minimum wage and the 79% would like to ban the zero hours contracts for workers. Moreover, more than 70% of voters would like to use the tax leverage to spend more money on health and public services, to build affordable homes, reduce income differences and the cost of university tuition fees. What is more, about two thirds of the voters would like to nationalize Britain's railways. Beyond the economic goals, another leftist, or liberal, goal ('Keep the law that allows gay marriages') is highly supported (73%), thus showing the fundamental secularism of the British society, consistently with the results previously shown in the Netherlands and France (see Emanuele, De Sio and van Ditmars in this volume; Emanuele, De Sio and Michel in this volume). In other words, beyond the need to be protected from terrorist attacks and the other valence issues (not analysed here), a traditional pro-Labour agenda seems to be the favourite option for British voters in this electoral campaign. Nonetheless, we still need to see whether the Labour party will be able to exploit this favourable window of opportunity, or whether, instead, the Conservatives will be able to shift the public attention to other issues (i.e., the protection from terrorism or other shared goals on which they are considered as more credible).

The support accorded by voters to different goals tells only a part of the story. We also need to detect whether these goals are somewhat connected in a consistent way in voters' mind. In other words, we want to understand if a traditional left-right dimension of competition still exists, and if this dimension is still the most important one. Or, instead, whether the mind of the voters is no longer ideologically consistent, at least according to a 20th-century fashion.

In order to do that, we performed an exploratory factor analysis based on the 18 positional issues presented above.

Table 2 reports the results of the exploratory factor analysis. The two most important components are reported. They account for the 36% of the variance³. Respectively, the first component explains a variance (e.g., Eigenvalue)

² These results are consistent with what already seen in France, where the issue related to welfare chauvinism was supported by 70% of the respondents (while in the Netherlands only 50% of the voters supported this goal).

³ The analysis performed reported also a third and a fourth factor, later excluded since they added a very small contribution to the explained variance (respectively, 9.8% and 5.7%).

Table 1. Divisive goals by public opinion support, UK 2017

Statement	% Support				
Increase the minimum wage	80%				
Ban zero hours contracts for workers					
Restrict access to welfare benefits for immigrants					
Keep the law that allows gay marriages					
Raise taxes and spend more on health and social services					
Invest more public money to build affordable homes					
Reduce income differences					
Scrap or reduce the cost of university tuition fees					
Require foreigners in Britain to fully adapt to British culture					
Nationalize Britain's railways					
Maintain Britain's nuclear weapons (Trident)	63%				
Ban the Islamic veil in public spaces					
Keep Britain in the European Single Market	57%				
End freedom of movement of people from the EU into Britain	54%				
Leave the European Union	54%				
Do not allow Scotland to vote in another referendum on independence	54%				
Expand the provision of grammar schools	53%				
Allow the expansion of fracking to produce more oil and gas					
Prohibit the use of fracking to produce more oil and gas	50%				
Limit the provision of grammar schools	47%				
Allow Scotland to vote in another referendum on independence	46%				
Keep Britain in the European Union	46%				
Allow freedom of movement of people from the EU into Britain	46%				
Leave the European Single Market	43%				
Allow the Islamic veil in public spaces	37%				
Dismantle Britain's nuclear weapons (Trident)	37%				
Keep Britain's railways in private	35%				
Allow foreigners in Britain to preserve their own culture	35%				
Maintain the present cost of university tuition fees	30%				
Do not reduce income differences	29%				
Rely on the private sector to build affordable homes	28%				
Cut taxes and spend less on health and social services	28%				
Repeal the law that allows gay marriages	27%				
Maintain current levels of access to welfare benefits for immigrants	24%				
Maintain zero hours contracts for workers	21%				
Do not increase the minimum wage	20%				

Vincenzo Emanuele

Table 2. The two main components and the most important rotated factor loadings

Factor 1		
Integration vs. demarcation		
Keep Britain in the European Union	++	
Allow the Islamic veil in public spaces		
Allow freedom of movement of people from the EU into Britain		
Keep Britain in the European Single Market	++	
Maintain current levels of access to welfare benefits for immigrants	+	
Allow foreigners in Britain to preserve their own culture	+	
Factor 2		
Economic left-right		
Rely on the private sector to build affordable homes	+	
Do not increase the minimum wage	++	
Do not reduce income differences	+	
Maintain the present cost of university tuition fees	+	
Maintain zero hours contracts for workers		
Keep Britain's railways in private	+	
Note: + = 0.4-0.7; ++ =>0.7		

of 3.5, while the second component has an Eigenvalue equals to 2.97. Quite surprisingly, the first and most important component (in terms of explained variance) is not the economic left-right dimension, which instead comes second, by adding a 16.5% of explained variance. Conversely, with a 19.5% of explained variance, the most important detected dimension of competition puts together the three issues related to the European Union (Brexit, the Single Market, and the freedom of movement of people) and the three cultural issues related to immigrants (Islamic veil, welfare chauvinism, and preservation of foreigners' culture). This dimension can be clearly associated with the Kriesi et al.'s integration/demarcation dimension (2006). This is a relatively new dimension that is gaining increasing momentum. It creates new alignments and is strategically exploited by the challengers of the status quo (such as Wilders in the Netherlands and Le Pen in France)⁴ by pooling together issues related to

⁴ While usually silenced by mainstream, pro-global and pro-EU parties, in the French Presidential election of 2017, the other side of the conflict (the pro-European one) has been clearly politicized for the first time, thanks to the campaign led by Emmanuel Macron.

the European Union, immigration, and (in France) globalization. This dimension blends institutional, cultural, and economic goals, thus going beyond the traditional left-right axis, now consistently represented by the second component of the factor analysis reported in Table 2. This second component is now deprived by its cultural aspects and is only made by economic goals. A further evidence that the political space, in the United Kingdom as in many other countries, has become (at least) two-dimensional.

References

- Emanuele, V. (2018), 'UK gives priority to problem solving, but leftist positions dominate economic issues', in De Sio, L., and Paparo, A. (eds.), *The year of challengers? Issues, public opinion, and elections in Western Europe in 2017*, Rome, CISE, pp. 121-125.
- Emanuele, V., De Sio, L., and Michel, E. (2018), 'A shared agenda, with a right-wing slant: public opinion priorities towards the French Presidential election', in De Sio, L., and Paparo, A. (eds.), *The year of challengers? Issues, public opinion, and elections in Western Europe in 2017*, Rome, CISE, pp. 63-68.
- Emanuele, V., De Sio, L., and van Ditmars, M. (2018), 'Towards the next Dutch general election: issues at stake, support and priority', in De Sio, L., and Paparo, A. (eds.), *The year of challengers? Issues, public opinion, and elections in Western Europe in 2017*, Rome, CISE, pp. 21-26.
- Kriesi, H., Grande, E., Lachat, R., Dolezal, M., Bornschier, S., and Frey, T. (2006), 'Globalization and the transformation of the national political space: Six European countries compared', *European Journal of Political Research*, 45(6), pp. 921-956.
- Stokes, D. E. (1963), 'Spatial Models of Party Competition', *American Political Science Review*, 57(2), pp. 368–377.